SMAQMD BACT CLEARINGHOUSE

CATEGOR	Ү Туре:		IC EN	GINE SI	PARK	- STA	NDBY	
BACT Cate	gory: MINOR SOL	JRCE		•				
BACT Det	ermination Numbe	r:	208	В	ACT Det	erminati	on Date:	12/28/20
			Equip	ment Info	ormatio	n		
Permit Nu	mber: N/A G	eneric B	ACT Deter	mination				
Equipmen	t Description:	IC EN	GINE STAI	NDBY				
	Rating/Capacity:	< 500	BHP					
	t Location:							
		BAC	T Deter	<u>minatio</u>	<u>n Info</u>	rmatic	on	
District	Contact: Jeffrey	Quok	Phone N	o.: 916-874	-4863	email:	jquok@airqu	uality.org
ROCs	Standard:	See Desci	ription					
			: 206 ppmv @ 0.29 g/hp-hr)) 15% O2 as r	nethane (1	.0 g/bhp-hr)	, Rich burn: 60 p	opmv @ 15% O2 as
	Basis:	Achieved i	in Practice					
NOx	Standard:	See Desci	ription					
	Technology Description:	Lean burn	: 1.0 g/hp-hr,	Rich burn: 25	ppmvd @	15 O2 (0.44	g/hp-hr) OR 969	% weight reduction
	Basis:	Achieved i	in Practice					
SOx	Standard:	Natural ga	s fuel or equiv	valent fuel				
UUX	Technology Description:							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Achieved i	in Practice					
PM10	Standard:	Natural ga	s fuel or equiv	valent fuel				
	Technology Description:							
		Achieved i	in Practice					
PM2.5		Natural ga	s fuel or equiv	valent fuel				
1 1012.5	Technology Description:							
		Achieved i	in Practice					
со		2.0 g/hp-h	r					
50	Technology							
	Description:							
	Bu313.	Achieved i	in Practice					
LEAD	Standard:							
	Technology							
	Description:							
	Basis:							

EXPIRED

SMAQMD BACT CLEARINGHOUSE

CATEGOR	XY Туре:	IC E	NGINE SPARK	<u>- STANDBY</u>	
BACT Cate	egory: MINOR SOU	JRCE			
BACT Det	ermination Numbe	r: 209	BACT De	termination Date:	12/28/2018
		Equ	ipment Information	on	
Permit Nu	imber: N/A G	eneric BACT De	termination		
Equipmen	nt Description:	IC ENGINE S	TANDBY		
Unit Size/	Rating/Capacity:	≥ 500 BHP			
Equipmen	nt Location:				
		BACT Det	ermination Info	ormation	
District	Contact: Jeffrey	Quok Phone	e No.: 916-874-4863	email: jquok@airo	quality.org
ROCs	otanuara.	See Description			
		Lean burn: 206 ppm methane (0.29 g/hp-		1.0 g/bhp-hr), Rich burn: 60	ppmv @ 15% O2 as
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice)		
NOx	Standard:	See Description			
	Technology Description:	Lean burn: 0.5 g/hp-	hr, Rich burn: 25 ppmvd @	15% O2 (0.44 g/hp-hr) OR	96% weight reduction
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice)		
SOx	Standard:	Natural gas fuel or e	quivalent fuel		
UUX	Technology Description:				
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice)		
PM10	Standard:	0.0099 lb/MMBtu			
	Technology Description:				
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice			
PM2.5	Standard:	0.0099 lb/MMBtu			
	Technology Description:				
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice			
CO	Standard:	1.5 g/bhp-hr			
	Technology				
	Description:				
	Da313.	Achieved in Practice)		
LEAD	Standard:				
	Technology				
	Description:				
	Basis:				

EXPIRED



ADDENDUM TO BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

	DETERMINATION NO.:	208 & 209
	DATE:	July 8, 2019
	ENGINEER:	Jeffrey Quok
Category/General Equip Description: Equipment Specific Description:	Internal Combustion (I.C.) Engine I.C. Engine Spark – Standby, Gaseous-fueled (Excluding Biogas) Engines < 500 BHP (BACT #208)	
Equipment Size/Rating:	Engines ≥ 500 BHP (BACT #	[£] 209)
Previous BACT Det. No.:	No. 122 & 123	

This BACT determination addendum will update BACT determinations #208 & #209 to update VOC emission standards that were based on SJVAPCD's BACT Guideline 3.1.5. This update will change the lean burn VOC emissions standard from 86 ppmv at 15% O_2 to 206 ppmv at 15% O_2 and add a rich burn VOC concentration standard of 60 ppmv at 15% O_2 to the original standard of 0.29 g/hp-hr.

SJVAPCD's VOC emission standard for lean burn engines was originally based on the EPA NSPS JJJJ VOC standard of 86 ppmv or 1.0 g/hp-hr (above 130 HP). SJVAPCD discovered that the NSPS standard is referenced as propane. SJVAPCD updated their BACT guideline to reference methane to be consistent with their rules. This change in reference switched the standard from 86 ppmv @ 15% O_2 (measured as propane) to 206 ppmv @ 15% O_2 (measured as methane). SJVAPCD also added a concentration standard of 60 ppmv at 15% O_2 (measured as methane) to the original standard of 0.29 g/hp-hr to be consistent with the lean burn VOC Standard.

BACT/T-BACT ANALYSIS

A. ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a):

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT/T-BACT for gaseousfueled and propane/LPG standby engines by the following agencies and air pollution control districts:

	BACT Source: SJVUAPCD BACT Guideline 3.1.5 – Emergency Gas-Fired IC Engine (7/16/18)				
	Emerge	ncy Gas-Fired IC Engine			
	voc	Lean Burn: 206 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ as methane (1.0 g/bhp-hr)			
		Rich Burn: 60 ppmv @ 15% O₂ as methane (0.29 g/hp-hr)			
San Joaquin Valley Unified APCD	NOx	<u>Lean Burn < 500 BHP</u> : 1.0 g/bhp-hr <u>Lean Burn \ge 500 BHP</u> : 0.5 g/bhp-hr			
		Rich Burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15% O ₂ (0.44 g/bhp-hr)			
	SOx	Natural gas, LPG, or Propane fuel			
	PM10	Natural gas, LPG, or Propane fuel			
	PM2.5	No Standard			
	СО	2.0 g/bhp-hr			
	e no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. <u>EQUIREMENTS:</u> <u>02 – Internal Combustion Engines</u> (Amended 11/14/13) Engines are exempt from the emission limitations of this rule.				

C. <u>SELECTION OF BACT/T-BACT:</u>

Based on the above analysis, BACT for VOC, NOx, SOx, PM10, and CO will remain at what is currently achieved in practice and BACT for PM2.5 will be set to be the same as for PM10.

Volatile hazardous air pollutants (VHAP) are the primary driver for health risks associated with gaseous fueled engines. VHAPs are emitted as VOC, and the same control technologies that control VOC also control VHAPs. Therefore, the BACT for VOC and T-BACT for VHAPs are the same.

Table 1: BACT #208 FOR SPARK IGNITED I.C. ENGINES, STANDBY, GASEOUS- FUELED (EXCLUDING BIOGAS) < 500 BHP					
Pollutant	Standard	Source			
VOC	<u>Lean Burn</u> 206 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ as methane (1.0 g/bhp-hr) <u>Rich Burn</u> 60 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ as methane (0.29 g/hp-hr)	SJVAPCD			
NOx	<u>Lean-Burn:</u> 1.0 g/bhp-hr <u>Rich Burn:</u> 25 ppmvd @ 15 O ₂ (0.44 g/hp-hr) OR 96% weight reduction	SMAQMD & SJVAPCD			
SOx	Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and BAAQMD			
PM10	Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and BAAQMD			
PM2.5	Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and BAAQMD			
со	2.0 g/bhp-hr	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVAPCD			

Table 2: T-BACT #208 FOR SPARK IGNITED I.C. ENGINES, STANDBY, GASEOUS-FUELED (EXCLUDING BIOGAS) < 500 BHP						
Pollutant	Standard	Source				
VHAP ^(A)	<u>Lean Burn</u> 206 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ as methane (1.0 g/bhp-hr) <u>Rich Burn</u> 60 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ as methane (0.29 g/hp-hr)	SJVAPCD				

 G0 ppmv @ 15% O2 as methane (0.29 g/hp-hr)

 (A) A full list of the volatile hazardous air pollutants (VHAP) from natural gas combustion can be found in AP-42, Section 3.2 Natural Gas-fired Reciprocating Engines, Tables 3.2-1, 3.2-2, and 3.2-3.

Table 3: BACT #209 FOR SPARK IGNITED I.C. ENGINES, STANDBY, GASEOUS- FUELED (EXCLUDING BIOGAS) ≥ 500 BHP					
Pollutant	Standard	Source			
VOC	Lean Burn 206 ppmv @ 15% O₂ as methane (1.0 g/bhp-hr) <u>Rich Burn</u> 60 ppmv @ 15% O₂ as methane (0.29 g/hp-hr)	SJVAPCD			
NOx	Lean-Burn: 0.5 g/bhp-hr <u>Rich Burn:</u> 25 ppmvd @ 15 O ₂ (0.44 g/hp-hr) OR 96% weight reduction	SMAQMD & SJVAPCD			
SOx	Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel	BAAQMD			
PM10	0.0099 lb/MMBtu	SMAQMD & EPA (MI-00401)			
PM2.5	0.0099 lb/MMBtu	SMAQMD & EPA (MI-00401)			
со	1.5 g/p-hr	SMAQMD			

Table 4: T-BACT #209 FOR SPARK IGNITED I.C. ENGINES, STANDBY, GASEOUS- FUELED (EXCLUDING BIOGAS) ≥ 500 BHP						
Pollutant	Standard	Source				
VHAP ^(A)	<u>Lean Burn</u> 206 ppmv @ 15% O₂ as methane (1.0 g/bhp-hr) <u>Rich Burn</u> 60 ppmv @ 15% O₂ as methane (0.29 g/hp-hr)	SJVAPCD				

(A) A full list of the volatile hazardous air pollutants (VHAP) from natural gas combustion can be found in AP-42, Section 3.2 Natural Gas-fired Reciprocating Engines, Tables 3.2-1, 3.2-2, and 3.2-3.

APPROVED BY: But F Hund DATE: 8-7-19

Attachment A

SJVAPCD BACT Determination and Email Contact

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.5*

Last Update: 07/16/2018

Emergency Gas-Fired IC Engine

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
VOC	1) LEAN BURN: 206 ppmv @ 15% O2 (1.0 g/bhp-hr)		
	2) RICH BURN: 60 ppmv @ 15% O2 (0.29 g/bhp-hr)		
SOx	Natural Gas, LPG, or Propane as fuel		
PM10	Natural Gas, LPG, or Propane as fuel		
NOx	1) LEAN BURN: < 500 BHP: 1.0 g/bhp-hr ≥ 500 BHP: 0.5 g/bhp-hr		
	2) RICH BURN: 25 ppmv @ 15% O2 (0.44 g/bhp-hr)		
со	2.0 g/bhp-hr		

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a State Implementation Plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source

Jeffrey Quok

From:	Matthew Baldwin
Sent:	Tuesday, May 07, 2019 4:47 PM
To:	Jeffrey Quok
Subject:	FW: Question on BACT 3.1.5
Categories:	Red Category

Jeff,

Here's a summary of what Silvana Procopio told me regarding BACT 3.1.5.

The lean burn VOC BACT was based on EPA NSPS JJJJ. This standard is 86 ppmv or 1.0 g/hp-hr (above 130 HP). However, not stated is the reference pollutant. San Joaquin investigated and discovered that it was referenced as propane. San Joaquin then updated their standard to reference methane, which is consistent with their rules. The change in reference switched the standard from 86 ppmv @ 15% oxygen to 206 ppmv @ 15% Oxygen. Likewise, they added a concentration standard to be consistent with the Lean Burn VOC standard, which is why they updated the original standard (0.29 g/hp-hr) to reference a 60 ppmv @ 15% Oxygen. Silvana stated that this is also referenced as methane.

Matt Baldwin Sacramento Metropolitan AQMD (916) 874-4858

From: Silvana Procopio [mailto:Silvana.Procopio@valleyair.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2019 1:28 PM
To: Matthew Baldwin < MBaldwin@airquality.org>
Cc: Leonard Scandura < Leonard.Scandura@valleyair.org>; Errol Villegas < errol.villegas@valleyair.org>
Subject: RE: Question on BACT 3.1.5

Hi Matt,

It was a pleasure speaking with you earlier. Let me know if you have any further questions regarding the BACT Guideline Determination 3.1.5.

Kind regards,

Silvana Procopio

Air Quality Engineer San Joaquin Valley APCD 34946 Flyover Ct., Bakersfield, CA 93308 Ph.: 661.392.5606 www.vallevair.org



From: Leonard Scandura <<u>Leonard.Scandura@valleyair.org</u>> Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2019 11:55 AM To: Errol Villegas <<u>errol.villegas@valleyair.org</u>> Cc: Silvana Procopio <<u>Silvana.Procopio@valleyair.org</u>> Subject: RE: Question on BACT 3.1.5

Errol - Well give him a call back.

Thanks

Leonard

From: Errol Villegas <<u>errol.villegas@valleyair.org</u>> Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2019 10:20 AM To: Leonard Scandura <<u>Leonard.Scandura@valleyair.org</u>> Subject: FW: Question on BACT 3.1.5

Leonard – It looks like Sylvana did this proactive BACT update... Can you please assist with answering this question from Sac Metro?

Thanks, Errol

From: Matthew Baldwin <<u>MBaldwin@airquality.org</u>> Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2019 9:34 AM To: Errol Villegas <<u>errol.villegas@valleyair.org</u>> Subject: Question on BACT 3.1.5

Errol,

Just had a question regarding one of your BACT determinations. During a review for a permit application, I noticed that the VOC standard for BACT 3.1.5 (Emergency Gas-fired engine) had been corrected or changed. When we did our BACT determination for this category, we referenced the following standards:

1) Lean burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% O2 (0.4 g/bhp-hr) 2) Rich burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr (SMAQMD BACT Determination 208 & 209)

When looking more recently at the same BACT, it looks like the standard changed to:

1) Lean Burn: 206 ppmv @ 15% O2 (1.0 g/bhp-hr) 2) Rich Burn: 60 ppmv @ 15% O2 (0.29 g/bhp-hr)

Could you please clarify? We just want to be sure we have referenced the correct standards, and update our BACT if necessary.

Thanks,

Matt Baldwin Air Quality Engineer Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District 777 12th Street, 3rd Floor | Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel: (916) 874-4858 | Front Desk: (916) 874-4800 www.airquality.org

Attachment B Original BACTs #208 & #209 (12/18/2018)

۸	CI	ГІ	V	
A			V	

BACT Size:	Minor Sourc	e BACT	IC	ENGINE STAND
BACT Dete	ermination Numb	ber: 208	BACT Determination Date:	12/18/2018
		Equipmen	t Information	
Unit Size/R	nber: N/A t Description: Rating/Capacity: t Location:	Generic BACT Determina IC ENGINE STANDB < 500 BHP		
		BACT Determin	ation Information	
ROCs	Standard:	See Description		
NOOS	Technology Description:	Lean burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% C	02 (0.4 g/bhp-hr), Rich burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr	
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
NOx	Standard:	See Description		
	Technology Description:	Lean burn: 1.0 g/hp-hr, Rich b	urn: 25 ppmvd @ 15 O2 (0.44 g/hp-hr) OR 96% v	weight reduction
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
SOx	Standard:	Natural gas fuel or equivalent	fuel	
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice	6	
PM10	Standard: Technology Description:	Natural gas fuel or equivalent	ruei	
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
PM2.5	Standard:	Natural gas fuel or equivalent	fuel	
1 1012.5	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
со	Standard:	2.0 g/hp-hr		
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
LEAD	Standard:			
	Technology			
	Description: Basis:			
Commonto		I ent to BACT for VOC.		
comments				
District C	Contact:			

•	C 1	١.		
A		N	Е	

BACT Size:	Minor Sourc	e BACT	IC	ENGINE STANDB
BACT Dete	ermination Numb	er: 209	BACT Determination Date:	12/18/2018
		Equipmen	t Information	
Unit Size/R	nber: N/A Description: Rating/Capacity: Location:	Generic BACT Determina IC ENGINE STANDB` ≥ 500 BHP		
		BACT Determin	ation Information	
ROCs	Standard:	See Description		
Roos	Technology Description:	Lean burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% C	02 (0.4 g/bhp-hr), Rich burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr	
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
NOx	Standard:	See Description		
	Technology Description:	Lean burn: 0.5 g/hp-hr, Rich b	ourn: 25 ppmvd @ 15 O2 (0.44 g/hp-hr) OR 96% w	eight reduction
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
SOx	Standard:	Natural gas fuel or equivalent	fuel	
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
PM10	Standard:	0.0099 lb/MMBtu		
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice 0.0099 lb/MMBtu		
PM2.5	Standard: Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
со	Standard:	1.5 g/bhp-hr		
00	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
LEAD	Standard:			
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:			
	: T-BACT is equivale	ent to BACT for VOC.		



BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

	DETERMINATION NO.:	208 & 209
	DATE:	December 18, 2018
	ENGINEER:	Jeffrey Quok
Category/General Equip Description:	Internal Combustion (I.C.) Er	ngine
Equipment Specific Description:	I.C. Engine Spark – Standby (Excluding Biogas)	, Gaseous-fueled
	Engines < 500 BHP (BACT #	[‡] 208)
Equipment Size/Rating:	Engines ≥ 500 BHP (BACT #	[‡] 209)
Previous BACT Det. No.:	No. 122 & 123	

This BACT determination will update the following determinations:

#122 & #123 which were made on August 5, 2016 for I.C. Engine Spark - Standby, < 500 BHP and \ge 500 BHP

BACT/T-BACT ANALYSIS

A. ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a):

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT/T-BACT for gaseous-fueled and propane/LPG standby engines by the following agencies and air pollution control districts:

Note: Tables 3.2-1, 3.2-2, and 3.2-3 of AP-42 list benzene, formaldehyde, PAHs, naphthalene, acetaldehyde, acrolein, propylene, toluene, xylenes, ethyl benzene, and hexane as the primary drivers for health risks associated with natural gas combustion. These VHAPs/organic compounds are emitted as VOC and the same control technologies that control VOCs also control the listed VHAPs.

District/Agency	Best Av	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	BACT Source: EPA RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse (See Attachment A) RBLC ID: N/A IA-0102 (VOC) & CA-1225 (NOx, PM10, & CO)				
	For star BHP	ndby natural gas (includes propane & LPG) units with a rating of < 500			
	VOC 0.66 lb/hr (IA-0102) ^(A)				
	NOx	0.78 lb/hr (CA-1225) ^(B)			
	SOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found in the < 500 BHP range			
	PM10	0.216 lb/hr (CA-1225) ^(B)			
	PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found in the < 500 BHP range			
	CO	4.0 g/hp-hr (CA-1225) ^(B)			
	(B) CA-	102 was a BACT Determination for a 225 KW engine. This determination did identify if the engine was lean or rich burn. 1225 was a BACT Determination for a 256 BHP engine. This determination not identify if the engine was lean or rich burn.			
	Source: EPA RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse (See Attachment A) RBLC ID: <u>OK-0153</u> (VOC, NOx, & CO), <u>IN-0167</u> (SOx), & <u>MI-0401</u> (PM10 &				
US EPA	For star	ndby natural gas (includes propane & LPG) units with a rating of \ge 500 BHP			
	VOC	0.44 g/hp-hr (OK-0153) ^(A)			
	NOx	0.5 g/hp-hr (OK-0153) ^(A)			
	SOx	0.0015 g/kwh (0.0011 g/hp-hr) (IN-0167) ^(B)			
	PM10	0.0099 lb/MMBtu (MI-0401) ^(C)			
	PM2.5	0.0099 lb/MMBtu (MI-0401) ^(C)			
	со	0.43 g/hp-hr (OK-0153) ^(A)			
	did (B) IN-0 did (C) MI-0	0153 was a BACT Determination for a 2,889 BHP engine. This determination not identify if the engine was lean or rich burn. 0167 was a BACT Determination for a 620 BHP engine. This determination not identify if the engine was lean or rich burn. 0401 was a BACT Determination for a 1,200 kW engine. This determination not identify if the engine was lean or rich burn.			
	T-BACT There ar	e no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.			
	40 CFR Ignition I new stat	EQUIREMENTS: Part 60 Subpart JJJJ – Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark nternal Combustion Engines: This regulation applies to owners/operators of tionary spark ignition engines that commenced construction after June 12, 0 CFR §60.4230(a)(4)]			

District/Agency	Best Av	ailable	Control Techno	logy (BACT)/	Requireme	ents		
	<u>40 CFR §60.4233(d) & §60.4233(e)</u> Owners and operators of stationary SI ICE with a maximum engine power greater than 19 KW (25 BHP) must comply with the emission standards of Table 1 to this subpart for their emergency stationary SI ICE (applies to both lean and rich burn engines).							
		40 CF	R Subpart JJJJ	Table 1: Emis			,	
					Emis	sion Standa	ards ^(A)	
	Engine and	•••	Maximum Engine Power	Manufacture Date	(ppr	g/bhp-hr mvd at 15%	O ₂)	
US EPA					NOx	СО	VOC ^(C)	
	Emerge	ncy ^(D)	25 <bhp<130< td=""><td>1/1/2009</td><td>10^(B) (N/A)</td><td>387 (N/A)</td><td>N/A</td></bhp<130<>	1/1/2009	10 ^(B) (N/A)	387 (N/A)	N/A	
			BHP≥130		2.0 (160)	4.0 (540)	1.0 (86)	
	 comply with the emission standards in units of either g/bhp-hr or ppmvd at 15% O2 (B) The emission standards applicable to emergency engines between 25 BHP and 130 BHP are in terms of NOx + HC. (C) For purposes of this subpart, when calculating emissions of VOC compounds, emissions of formaldehyde should not be included. (D) Applies to both lean and rich burn emergency engines. 							
			CT Clearinghous			ment B)		
	voc							
	NOx	1.5 g/b	hp-hr, 3-way cata	alyst converter	with air/fuel	ratio contro	oller	
Air Resources	SOx	N/A – M	lo BACT determ	inations found				
Board (ARB)	PM10	N/A – N	No BACT determ	inations found				
	PM2.5	N/A – N	No BACT determ	inations found				
	со	-	hp-hr, 3-way cata	-				
	(A) This BACT determination was for a 1334 bhp engine. The determination doesn't specify if the engine is rich or lean burn.							

District/Agency	Best Av	ailable Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements				
Air Resources Board (ARB)	<u>T-BACT</u> There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.					
	<u>RULE R</u> None	EQUIREMENTS:				
	CARB RACT/BARCT Guidelines for Stationary Spark-Ignited Internal Combustion Engines (11/2001) This document presents the determination of reasonably available control technology (RACT) and best available retrofit control technology (BARCT) for controlling NOx, VOC, and CO from stationary, spark-ignited reciprocating internal combustion engines. On page IV-14 of the document, emergency standby engines are listed as exempt from the recommended emission limits. Therefore, this guideline is not applicable to this BACT determination.					
	BACT Source: SMAQMD BACT Clearinghouse, BACT Determination #122 & #12					
	For standby spark ignition units with a rating of < 500 BHP					
	Lean Burn 1.0 g/bhp-hr VOC					
		Rich Burn 50% Control efficiency, 3-way catalyst with air-to-fuel ratio controller				
	NOx	<u>Lean-Burn:</u> 1.0 g/bhp-hr				
SMAQMD		Rich Burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15% O2 OR 96% weight reduction				
	SOx	Natural gas or equivalent fuel				
	PM10	Natural gas or equivalent fuel				
	PM2.5	Natural gas or equivalent fuel				
	со	2.0 g/bhp-hr				

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements				
	For standby spark ignition units with a rating of \geq 500 BHP ^(A)				
	VOC	Lean Burn 0.6 g/bhp-hr <u>Rich Burn</u> 50% Control efficiency, 3-way catalyst with air-to-fuel ratio controller			
	NOx	Lean-Burn: 0.5 g/bhp-hr <u>Rich-Burn:</u> 25 ppmvd @ 15% O ₂ OR 96% weight reduction			
	SOx	Natural gas or equivalent fuel			
	PM10	0.0099 lb/MMBtu			
	PM2.5	0.0099 lb/MMBtu			
	со	1.5 g/p-hr			
SMAQMD	T-BACT Source: SMAQMD BACT Clearinghouse, BACT Determination #122 & #123 (8/5/16)				
	For standby spark ignition units with a rating of < 500 BHP ^(A)				
	VHAP ^(A)				
	com	Il list of the volatile hazardous air pollutants (VHAP) from natural gas bustion can be found in AP-42, Section 3.2 Natural Gas-fired Reciprocating nes, Tables 3.2-1, 3.2-2, and 3.2-3.			
	For star	ndby spark ignition units with a rating of \geq 500 BHP ^(A)			
	VHAP ^(A)	0.6 g/bhp-hr			
	com	III list of the volatile hazardous air pollutants (VHAP) from natural gas bustion can be found in AP-42, Section 3.2 Natural Gas-fired Reciprocating nes, Tables 3.2-1, 3.2-2, and 3.2-3.			
	Rule 412 Sources This rule BHP loca operation exempt	EQUIREMENTS: 2 – Stationary Internal Combustion Engines Located at Major Stationary of NOx (Adopted 6/1/1995) e applies to any stationary internal combustion engine rated at more than 50 ated at a major stationary source of NOx. Section 110 of this rule states that n of stationary internal combustion engines used for emergency standby are from the standards of this rule. Therefore, this rule is not applicable to this etermination.			

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements							
	BACT Source: SCAQMD BACT Guidelines for Non-Major Polluting Facilities, page 74 (2/2/18)							
	В	SACT C	Guideline, I.C.	Engine Spar – g/bl		ationary, Emer	gency	
	Rating/S	Size	VOC	NOx	SOx	СО	PM	
	< 130	HP	1.5 g/bhp- hr	1.5 g/bhp- hr	Use of clean fuels (A)	2.0 g/bhp-hr	Use of clean fuels (A)	
South Coast AQMD	≥ 130	HP	1.0 g/bhp- hr	1.5 g/bhp- hr	Use of clean fuels (A)	2.0 g/bhp-hr	Use of clean fuels (A)	
	 (A) Clean fuel is defined as one that produces air emissions equivalent to or lower than natural gas for NOx, SOx, ROG, and fine particulate matter (PM10). T-BACT There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. RULE REQUIREMENTS: Reg IX, Rule 1110.2 – Emissions from Gaseous- and Liquid-Fueled Engines (Amended 6/3/16) Emergency standby engines are exempt from this Rule. 							
	BACT Source: SJVUAPCD BACT Guideline 3.1.5 – Emergency Gas-Fired IC Engine (7/16/18) Emergency Gas-Fired IC engine							
	voc	Lean Burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% O2 (0.4 g/bhp-hr) Rich Burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr						
San Joaquin Valley Unified APCD	NOx	<u>Lean</u>	<u>Burn < 500 B</u> Burn ≥ 500 B <u>Burn</u> : 25 ppm	<u>HP</u> : 0.5 g/bhp	o-hr	-hr)		
	SOx	Natur	al gas, LPG,	or Propane fu	iel			
	PM10	Natur	al gas, LPG,	or Propane fu	ıel			
	PM2.5	No St	tandard					
	СО	2.0 g/	/bhp-hr					

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements					
San Joaquin Valley Unified APCD	RULE RI Rule 470	T-BACT There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. RULE REQUIREMENTS: Rule 4702 – Internal Combustion Engines (Amended 11/14/13) Standby Engines are exempt from the emission limitations of this rule.				
	The engi to standb <u>T-BACT</u> There are	NSR Requirements for BACT ne BACT determinations liste by engines. e no T-BACT standards publi EQUIREMENTS:	ed in the SDAPCD Clea			
	Regulation	on 4, Rule 69.4 – Stationary	Reciprocating Internal	Combustion Engines –		
	<u>Reasonably Available Control Technology</u> (7/30/03) This rule applies to stationary I.C. Engines \geq 50 BHP located at a stationary source which emits or has a potential to emit 50 tons per year or more of NOx.					
	Standby Engines are exempt from the emission limitations of this rule.					
	Regulation 4, Rule 69.4.1 – Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines –					
San Diego	Best Available Retrofit Control Technology (11/15/00) This rule applies to stationary I.C. Engines ≥ 50 BHP.					
APCD						
	New or	replacement rich-burn engine	s using fossil derived g	aseous fuel		
		Published Value	Conversion for Naturally Aspirated Engines (g/bhp-hr) ^(A)	Conversion for Turbocharged Engines (g/bhp-hr) ^(B)		
	VOC	250 ppmvd @ 15% O ₂	1.53	1.47		
	NOx	25 ppmvd @ 15% O ₂ OR 96% weight reduction	0.44	0.42		
	SOx	No standard	-	-		
	PM10	No standard	-	-		
	PM2.5	No standard	-	-		
	со	4,500 ppmvd @ 15% O ₂	48.4	46.4		
	 (A) Based on Santa Barbara County APCD Piston IC Engine Technical Reference Document (11/1/02) emission factor conversions, Section II(B)(B7)(e)(vi). (B) Based on Santa Barbara County APCD Piston IC Engine Technical Reference Document (11/1/02) emission factor conversions, Section II(B)(B7)(e)(vii). 					

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements					
	New or replacement lean-burn engines using gaseous fuel					
San Diego		Published Value	Conversion for Naturally Aspirated Engines (g/bhp-hr) ^(A)	Conversion for Turbocharged Engines (g/bhp-hr) ^(B)		
APCD	VOC	250 ppmvd @ 15% O2	1.53	1.47		
	NOx	65 ppmvd @ 15% O ₂ OR 90% weight reduction	1.14	1.10		
	SOx	No standard	-	-		
	PM10	No standard	-	-		
	PM2.5	No standard	-	-		
	со	4,500 ppmvd @ 15% O ₂ ed on <i>Santa Barbara County</i>	48.4	46.4		
	(B) Bas Doc	<i>ument</i> (11/1/02) emission fac ed on <i>Santa Barbara County</i> <i>ument</i> (11/1/02) emission fac	APCD Piston IC Engli	ne Technical Reference		
	Source:	BACT Source: BAAQMD BACT Guideline 96.3.4 (5/7/03)				
	IC Engine - Spark Ignition, Natural Gas Fired Emergency Engine ≥ 50 BHP					
	VOC	 1. 1.0 g/bhp-hr (Achieved in Practice) 2. Lean burn technology or equivalent (Achieved in Practice) 				
	NOx	 1. 1.0 g/bhp-hr (Achieved in Practice) 2. Lean burn technology or equivalent (Achieved in Practice) 				
	SOx	1. Natural Gas Fuel (Achieved in Practice)				
Bay Area AQMD	PM10	1. Natural Gas Fuel (Achieved in Practice)				
	PM2.5	No Standard				
	со	 2.75 g/bhp-hr (Achieved in Practice) Lean burn technology or equivalent (Achieved in Practice) 				
	T-BACT There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. RULE REQUIREMENTS: Reg 9, Rule 8 – Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Monoxide from Stationary Internal Combustion Engines (7/25/07) Standby Engines are exempt from the emission limitations of this rule.					

The following control technologies have been identified and are ranked based on stringency:

	SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
VOC	For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines < 500 BHP 1. Lean burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% O₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) Rich burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr [SJVAPCD] 2. 0.66 g/bhp-hr (0.99 g/-bhp-hr) ^(A) [EPA, IA-0102] 3. Lean burn: 1.0 g/hp-hr Rich burn: 50% Control efficiency, 3-way catalyst with air-to-fuel ratio controller [SMAQMD] 4. 1.0 g/bhp-hr [BAAQMD] 5. 1.5 g/bhp-hr [SCAQMD] 6. 250 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ [SDAPCD] (1.47 g/bhp for naturally aspirated engines) (1.47 g/bhp for turbocharged engines) For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines ≥ 500 BHP 1. Lean burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% O₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) Rich burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr [SJVAPCD] 2. 0.44 g/bhp-hr [EPA, OK-0153] 3. Lean burn: 0.6 g/hp-hr Rich burn: 50% Control efficiency, 3-way catalyst with air-to-fuel ratio controller [SMAQMD] 4. 1.0 g/bhp-hr [SCAQMD, BAAQMD] 5. 250 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ [SDAPCD] (1.53 g/bhp for naturally aspirated engines) (1.53 g/bhp-hr [SCAQMD, BAAQMD] 5. 250 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ [SDAPCD] (1.53 g/bhp for naturally aspirated engines) (1.53 g/bhp for naturally aspirated engines) (1.47 g/bhp for turbocharged engines)
NOx	For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines < 500 BHP 1. Lean burn: 1.0 g/hp-hr Rich burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15 O₂OR 96% weight reduction [SMAQMD] 2. Lean burn: 1.0 g/bhp-hr Rich Burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ (0.44 g/bhp-hr) [SJVAPCD] 3. 1.0 g/bhp-hr [BAAQMD] 4. Rich Burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ OR 96% NOx weight reduction [SDAPCD] (0.44 g/bhp-hr for naturally aspirated engines) (0.42 g/bhp-hr for turbocharged engines) Lean Burn:65 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ OR 90% NOx weight reduction (1.14 g/bhp-hr for naturally aspirated engines) (1.10 g/bhp-hr for naturally aspirated engines) (1.10 g/bhp-hr for naturally aspirated engines) 5. 0.78 lb/hr (1.35 g/bhp-hr) ^(B) [EPA, CA-1225] 6. 1.5 g/bhp-hr [SCAQMD]

	SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
NOx	 For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines ≥ 500 BHP 1. Lean burn: 0.5 g/bhp-hr, Rich Burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ (0.44 g/bhp-hr) [SJVAPCD] 2. Lean burn: 0.5 g/hp-hr, Rich burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15 O₂OR 96% weight reduction [SMAQMD] 3. Rich Burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ OR 96% NOx weight reduction [SDAPCD] (0.44 g/bhp-hr for naturally aspirated engines) (0.42 g/bhp-hr for turbocharged engines) Lean Burn:65 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ OR 90% NOx weight reduction (1.14 g/bhp-hr for naturally aspirated engines) (1.10 g/bhp-hr for turbocharged engines) 4. 0.5 g/bhp-hr [BPA, OK-0153] 5. 1.0 g/bhp-hr [SCAQMD]
SOx	For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines < 500 BHP
PM10	For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines < 500 BHP 1. 0.0216 lb/hr [EPA, CA-1225] ^(F) 2. Natural gas fuel [BAAQMD] 3. Natural gas or equivalent fuel [SMAQMD] 4. Use of clean fuels ^(C) [SCAQMD] 5. Natural gas, LPG, or Propane fuel [SJVAPCD] 6. No standard [SDAPCD] <u>For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines ≥ 500 BHP</u> 1. 0.0099 lb/MMBtu [EPA, MI-0401] 2. 0.0099 lb/MMBtu [SMAQMD] 3. Natural gas fuel [BAAQMD] 4. Use of clean fuels ^(C) [SCAQMD] 5. Natural gas fuel [BAAQMD] 6. No standard [SDAPCD]

	SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
PM2.5	For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines < 500 BHP 1. Natural gas or equivalent fuel [SMAQMD] 2. Use of clean fuels [SCAQMD] 3. No standard [EPA, SJVAPCD, SDACPD, BAAQMD] For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines ≥ 500 BHP 1. 0.0099 lb/MMBtu [EPA, MI-0401] 2. 0.0099 lb/MMBtu [SMAQMD] 3. Use of clean fuels ^(c) [SCAQMD] 4. No Standard [SJVAPCD, SDAPCD, BAAQMD]
со	For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines < 500 BHP
VHAP ^(D) (T-BACT)	<u>For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines < 500 BHP</u> 1. 1.0 g/bhp-hr [SMAQMD] 2. No standard [EPA, ARB, SCAQMD, BAAQMD, SDAPVD, SJVAPCD] <u>For Spark Ignition, Emergency Standby Engines ≥ 500 BHP</u> 1. 0.6 g/bhp-hr [SMAQMD] 2. No standard [EPA, ARB, SCAQMD, BAAQMD, SDAPVD, SJVAPCD]
(B) Convers	sion from lb/hr to g/bhp-hr based on a 225 KW engine and a conversion factor of 0.7457 kw/hp. sion from lb/hr to g/bhp-hr based on a 256 BHP engine.

(C) Clean fuels is defined as one that produces air emissions equivalent to or lower than natural gas for NOx, SOx, ROG, and fine particulate matter (PM10).

(D) A full list of the volatile hazardous air pollutants (VHAP) from natural gas combustion can be found in AP-42, Section 3.2 Natural Gas-fired Reciprocating Engines, Tables 3.2-1, 3.2-2, and 3.2-3.

(E) This BACT Determination did not specify if this was for a rich or lean burn engine. Compliance verification is listed as not verified. Therefore, this limit will not be considered achieved in practice.

(F) This BACT Determination did not specify if this was for a rich or lean burn engine. Compliance verification is listed as unknown. Therefore, this limit will not be considered achieved in practice.

(G) This BACT Determination did not specify if this was for a rich or lean burn engine. Compliance verification is listed as unknown. Therefore, this limit will not be considered achieved in practice.

The following control technologies have been identified as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

	BEST CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED							
Pollutant	Standard	Source						
	For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines < 500 BHP (excluding biogas) Lean burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) Rich burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr	SJVAPCD						
VOC	For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines ≥ 500 BHP (excluding biogas) Lean burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% O₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) Rich burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr	SJVAPCD						
NOx	For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines < 500 BHP (excluding biogas) Lean burn: 1.0 g/hp-hr Rich burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15 O ₂ (0.44 g/hp-hr) OR 96% weight reduction	SMAQMD & SJVAPCD						
	For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines ≥ 500 BHP (excluding biogas) Lean burn: 0.5 g/hp-hr Rich burn: 25 ppmvd @ 15 O ₂ (0.44 g/hp-hr) OR 96% weight reduction	SJVAPCD						
SOx	For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines < 500 BHP (excluding biogas) Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines ≥ 500 BHP (excluding biogas) Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and BAAQMD						
PM10	For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines < 500 BHP (excluding biogas)	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and BAAQMD SMAQMD, EPA MI-0401						

BEST CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED							
Pollutant	Standard	Source					
PM2.5 ^(A)	For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines < 500 BHP (excluding biogas) Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines ≥ 500 BHP (excluding biogas) 0.0099 lb/MMBtu	BAAQMD SMAQMD, EPA MI-0401					
со	For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines < 500 BHP (excluding biogas) 2.0 g/hp-hr For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines ≥ 500 BHP (excluding biogas) 1.5 g/bhp-hr	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVAPCD SMAQMD					
VHAP	For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines < 500 BHP (excluding biogas) Lean burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% O₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) Rich burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr For gaseous or propane/LPG fired emergency IC Engines ≥ 500 BHP (excluding biogas) Lean burn: 86 ppmv @ 15% O₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) Rich burn: 0.29 g/hp-hr	SJVAPCD SJVAPCD					

(A) All PM is expected to be less than 1.0 micrometer in diameter and therefore PM10 BACT is equivalent to PM2.5 BACT.

B. TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND COST EFFECTIVE (Rule 202, §205.1.b.):

Technologically Feasible Alternatives:

Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

During the most recent rulemaking for updates to the Airborne Toxic Control Measure for Stationary Compression Ignition Engines (Title 17, Cal. Code. Regs., §93115 to §93115.15), ARB conducted a cost effectiveness analysis to determine if selective catalytic reduction (SCR) was technologically feasible and cost effective for emergency use applications. (Initial Statement of Reasons for Proposed Rulemaking: Proposed Amendments to the Airborne Toxic Control Measure for Stationary Compression Ignition Engines, Appendix B, September 2010). Although

the analysis was for stationary compression ignition engines, the listed SCR challenges due to the operational nature of emergency standby engines is also applicable for stationary spark ignition engines.

The analysis concluded that SCR may be technologically feasible, but had some additional challenges. Because standby engines routinely operate only for scheduled maintenance and testing, the engines do not operate more than 15-30 minutes, and do operate at no or low load. Because of this the exhaust would not likely reach the temperature (260 °C to 540 °C) required for the catalyst to operate. To circumvent this problem, the engine would need to be operated with higher loads and in many cases for longer periods of time. This could be a challenge for most emergency standby applications as most businesses do not have load banks in house and would have to create a larger load on the engine to get the catalyst up to operational temperature. Urea handling and maintenance is also an important consideration. Urea crystallization in the lines is more likely in emergency standby engines due to their periodic and low hours of usage. Urea also has a shelf life of approximately two years. This could increase the cost of operating a SCR for emergency standby engines since the low number of annual hours of operation experienced by most emergency standby engines could lead to urea expiration. The urea would then have to be drained and replaced, creating an extra maintenance step and an increased cost to the end user.

ARB staff determined that while, SCR systems may be technically feasible, there are significant operational hurdles to overcome before routine use of SCR on emergency standby engines is practical. This is because the majority of operating hours for emergency standby engines occur during short 15 to 30 minute maintenance and testing checks are at low engine loads. In most cases, the temperature needed for the SCR catalyst to function will not be reached during this operation and the SCR will not provide the expected NOx reductions.

The table below shows the technologically feasible alternatives identified as capable of reducing emissions beyond the levels determined to be "Achieved in Practice" as per Rule 202, §205.1.a.

Pollutant	Technologically Feasible Alternatives
VOC	No other technologically feasible option identified
NOx	For lean burn engines: Selective Catalytic Reduction
SOx	No other technologically feasible option identified
PM10	No other technologically feasible option identified
PM2.5	No other technologically feasible option identified
со	No other technologically feasible option identified

Cost Effective Determination:

After identifying the technologically feasible control options, a cost analysis is performed to take into consideration economic impacts for all technologically feasible controls identified.

Maximum Cost per Ton of Air Pollutants Controlled

1. A control technology is considered to be cost-effective if the cost of controlling one ton of that air pollutant is less than the limits specified below (except coating operations):

<u>Pollutant</u>	Maximum Cost (\$/ton)
ROG	17,500
NO _X	24,500
PM10	11,400
SO _X	18,300
CO	TBD if BACT triggered

Cost Effectiveness Analysis Summary

SCR:

As shown in Attachment C, the cost effectiveness for the add on SCR system to control NOx to a 96% weight reduction was calculated to be **\$181,576.47/ton** for a 499 bhp engine and **\$152,555.04/ton** for a 1000 bhp engine (see attached Engine Cost Effectiveness Analysis). Since the cost per ton of NOx removal increases as engine size decreases and a 499 bhp engine was found to not be cost effective, the lower bound cost of a 50 bhp engine was not calculated. The following basic parameters were used in the analysis.

499 BHP Engine

NOx Control Level = 0.02356 lb/MMBtu (96% weight reduction)

NOx Baseline Level = 0.589 lb/MMBtu (160 ppmv @ 15% O2 per Subpart JJJJ)

Engine Rating = 499 BHP (4.8 MMBtu/hr)

Engine Operating Hours = 100 hours/year (maximum maintenance hours)

Equipment Life = 20 years

Direct Cost = \$139,848.01

Direct Annual Cost = \$8,778.86 per year

Indirect Annual Cost = \$15,862.08 per year

Total Annual Cost = \$24,640.94 per year

NOx Removed = 0.14 tons per year

Cost of NOx Removal = \$181,576.47 per ton reduced

BACT Determination I.C. Engine Standby, gaseous fuels < 500 BHP and \ge 500 BHP Page 16 of 18

1,000 BHP Engine

NOx Control Level = 0.02356 lb/MMBtu (96% weight reduction)

NOx Baseline Level = 0.589 lb/MMBtu (160 ppmv @ 15% O2 per Subpart JJJJ)

Engine Rating = 1,000 BHP (9.6 MMBtu/hr)

Engine Operating Hours = 100 hours/year (maximum maintenance hours)

Equipment Life = 20 years

Direct Cost = \$220,942.20

Direct Annual Cost = \$16,317.19 per year

Indirect Annual Cost = \$25,087.96 per year

Total Annual Cost = \$41,405.15 per year

NOx Removed = 0.27 tons per year

Cost of NOx Removal = \$152,555.04 per ton reduced

Therefore, the add-on SCR system is considered not cost effective for either engine size and is eliminated.

C. SELECTION OF BACT/T-BACT:

Based on the above analysis, BACT for VOC, NOx, SOx, PM10, and CO will remain at what is currently achieved in practice and BACT for PM2.5 will be set to be the same as for PM10.

Volatile hazardous air pollutants (VHAP) are the primary driver for health risks associated with gaseous fueled engines. VHAPs are emitted as VOC, and the same control technologies that control VOC also control VHAPs. Therefore, the BACT for VOC and T-BACT for VHAPs are the same.

Table 1: BACT #208 FOR SPARK IGNITED I.C. ENGINES, STANDBY, GASEOUS-FUELED (EXCLUDING BIOGAS) < 500 BHP						
Pollutant	Standard	Source				
VOC	<u>Lean Burn</u> 86 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) <u>Rich Burn</u> 0.29 g/hp-hr	SJVAPCD				
NOx	Lean-Burn: 1.0 g/bhp-hr <u>Rich Burn:</u> 25 ppmvd @ 15 O ₂ (0.44 g/hp-hr) OR 96% weight reduction	SMAQMD & SJVAPCD				
SOx	Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and BAAQMD				
PM10	Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and BAAQMD				
PM2.5	Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and BAAQMD				
со	2.0 g/bhp-hr	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVAPCD				

Table 2: T-BACT #208 FOR SPARK IGNITED I.C. ENGINES, STANDBY, GASEOUS- FUELED (EXCLUDING BIOGAS) < 500 BHP							
Pollutant	Pollutant Standard Source						
VHAP ^(A)	<u>Lean Burn</u> 86 ppmv @ 15% O₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) <u>Rich Burn</u> 0.29 g/hp-hr	SJVAPCD					

(A) A full list of the volatile hazardous air pollutants (VHAP) from natural gas combustion can be found in AP-42, Section 3.2 Natural Gas-fired Reciprocating Engines, Tables 3.2-1, 3.2-2, and 3.2-3.

Table 3: BACT #209 FOR SPARK IGNITED I.C. ENGINES, STANDBY, GASEOUS-FUELED (EXCLUDING BIOGAS) ≥ 500 BHP							
Pollutant	lutant Standard Source						
voc	<u>Lean Burn</u> 86 ppmv @ 15% O₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) <u>Rich Burn</u> 0.29 g/hp-hr	SJVAPCD					
NOx	<u>Lean-Burn:</u> 0.5 g/bhp-hr <u>Rich Burn:</u> 25 ppmvd @ 15 O ₂ (0.44 g/hp-hr) OR 96% weight reduction	SMAQMD & SJVAPCD					
SOx	Natural gas fuel or equivalent fuel	BAAQMD					
PM10	0.0099 lb/MMBtu	SMAQMD, EPA (MI-00401)					
PM2.5	0.0099 lb/MMBtu	SMAQMD, EPA (MI-00401)					
со	1.5 g/p-hr	SMAQMD					

Table 4: T-BACT #209 FOR SPARK IGNITED I.C. ENGINES, STANDBY, GASEOUS- FUELED (EXCLUDING BIOGAS) ≥ 500 BHP						
Pollutant	Standard	Source				
VHAP ^(A)	<u>Lean Burn</u> 86 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ (0.4 g/bhp-hr) <u>Rich Burn</u> 0.29 g/hp-hr	SJVAPCD				

(A) A full list of the volatile hazardous air pollutants (VHAP) from natural gas combustion can be found in AP-42, Section 3.2 Natural Gas-fired Reciprocating Engines, Tables 3.2-1, 3.2-2, and 3.2-3.

APPROVED BY: ______ DATE: ______

Attachment A

Review of BACT Determinations published by EPA

List of BACT determinations published in EPA's RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse (RBLC) for Natural Gas (includes propane & liquefied petroleum gas) I.C. Engines ≤ 500 BHP & > 500 BHP

RBLC#	Permit Date ^(A)	Process Code ^{(B), (C)}	Engine Burn Type	Rating	Pollutant	Standard	Case-By-Case Basis
<u>MI-0426</u>	3/24/17	17.130	Not Listed	1,818 BHP	СО	11.0 lb/hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0426</u>	3/24/17	17.130	Not Listed	1,818 BHP	NOx	4.0 lb/hr & 2.0 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0426</u>	3/24/17	17.130	Not Listed	1,818 BHP	PM10	0.01 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0426</u>	3/24/17	17.130	Not Listed	1,818 BHP	PM2.5	0.01 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>CA-1240</u>	3/17/17	17.130	Not Listed	881 BHP	VOC	25 ppmvd @ 15% O2	Other Case-By-Case
<u>CA-1240</u>	3/17/17	17.130	Not Listed	881 BHP	СО	54 ppmvd @ 15% O2	Other Case-By-Case
<u>CA-1240</u>	3/17/17	17.130	Not Listed	881 BHP	NOx	5 ppmvd @ 15% O2	Other Case-By-Case
<u>CA-1240</u>	3/17/17	17.130	Not Listed	881 BHP	NH3	5 ppmvd @ 15% O2	Other Case-By-Case
<u>MI-0424</u>	12/5/16	17.130	Not Listed	1,462 BHP	СО	0.8 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0424</u>	12/5/16	17.130	Not Listed	1,462 BHP	NOx	2.0 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0424</u>	12/5/16	17.130	Not Listed	1,462 BHP	PM10	0.01 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0424</u>	12/5/16	17.130	Not Listed	1,462 BHP	PM2.5	0.01 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0424</u>	12/5/16	17.130	Not Listed	1,462 BHP	VOC	0.5 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0420</u>	6/3/16	17.130	Not Listed	1,506 kW	СО	9.6 lb/hr & 4.0 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0420</u>	6/3/16	17.130	Not Listed	1,506 kW	NOx	4.8 lb/hr & 2.0 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0420</u>	6/3/16	17.130	Not Listed	1,506 kW	PM10	0.01 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0420</u>	6/3/16	17.130	Not Listed	1,506 kW	PM2.5	0.01 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD

RBLC#	Permit Date ^(A)	Process Code ^{(B), (C)}	Engine Burn Type	Rating	Pollutant	Standard	Case-By-Case Basis
<u>SC-0170</u>	11/7/14	17.130	Not Listed	500 kW	СО	Tier 3 emission standards	BACT-PSD
<u>SC-0170</u>	11/7/14	17.130	Not Listed	500 kW	VOC	Tier 3 emission standards	BACT-PSD
LA-0287	7/21/14	17.130	Not Listed	1175 BHP	NOx	2.0 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
LA-0287	7/21/14	17.130	Not Listed	1175 BHP	PM10	0.004 lb/hr	BACT-PSD
<u>LA-0287</u>	7/21/14	17.130	Not Listed	1175 BHP	PM2.5	0.004 lb/hr	BACT-PSD
<u>IN-0185</u>	4/24/14	17.130	Not Listed	620 BHP	PM10	0.2 g/kWh	BACT-PSD
<u>IN-0185</u>	4/24/14	17.130	Not Listed	620 BHP	PM2.5	0.2 g/kWh	BACT-PSD
<u>IN-0185</u>	4/24/14	17.130	Not Listed	620 BHP	SO2	0.0015 g/kWh	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0412</u>	12/4/13	17.130	Not Listed	1,000 kW	СО	0.8 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0412</u>	12/4/13	17.130	Not Listed	1,000 kW	NOx	2.0 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0412</u>	12/4/13	17.130	Not Listed	1,000 kW	PM10	0.01 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0412</u>	12/4/13	17.130	Not Listed	1,000 kW	PM2.5	0.01 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0412</u>	12/4/13	17.130	Not Listed	1,000 kW	VOC	0.5 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>LA-0311</u>	7/15/13	17.130	Not Listed	2,500 BHP	СО	27.56 lb/hr	BACT-PSD
<u>IN-0167</u>	4/16/13	17.130	Not Listed	620 BHP	NOx	0.5 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>IN-0167</u>	4/16/13	17.130	Not Listed	620 BHP	PM10	0.2 g/kw-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>IN-0167</u>	4/16/13	17.130	Not Listed	620 BHP	PM2.5	0.2 g/kw-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>IN-0167</u>	4/16/13	17.130	Not Listed	620 BHP	SO2	0.0015 g/kw-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>OK-0153</u>	3/1/13	17.130	Not Listed	2,889 BHP	СО	0.43 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>OK-0153</u>	3/1/13	17.130	Not Listed	2,889 BHP	NOx	0.5 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>OK-0153</u>	3/1/13	17.130	Not Listed	2,889 BHP	PM2.5	0.01 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>OK-0153</u>	3/1/13	17.130	Not Listed	2,889 BHP	VOC	0.44 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0401</u>	12/21/11	17.130	Not Listed	1,200 kW	NOx	0.5 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD

RBLC#	Permit Date ^(A)	Process Code ^{(B), (C)}	Engine Burn Type	Rating	Pollutant	Standard	Case-By-Case Basis
<u>MI-0401</u>	12/21/11	17.130	Not Listed	1,200 kW	PM10	0.00999 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>MI-0401</u>	12/21/11	17.130	Not Listed	1,200 kW	PM2.5	0.00999 lb/mmBtu	BACT-PSD
<u>LA-0256</u>	12/06/2011	17.130	Not Listed	1,818 BHP	PM10	0.01 lb/hr	BACT-PSD, Operating Permit ^(D)
LA-0256	12/06/2011	17.130	Not Listed	1,818 BHP	PM2.5	0.01 lb/hr	BACT-PSD, Operating Permit ^(D)
LA-0256	12/06/2011	17.130	Not Listed	1,818 BHP	PM (TSP)	0.01 lb/hr	BACT-PSD, Operating Permit ^(D)
<u>LA-0257</u>	12/06/2011	17.130	Not Listed	2,012 BHP	СО	4.0 lb/bhp-r	BACT-PSD ^(E)
<u>LA-0257</u>	12/06/2011	17.130	Not Listed	2,012 BHP	NOx	2.0 g/bhp-hr	BACT-PSD ^(E)
<u>LA-0257</u>	12/06/2011	17.130	Not Listed	2,012 BHP	PM (TPM)	N/A	BACT-PSD
<u>LA-0257</u>	12/06/2011	17.130	Not Listed	2,012 BHP	VOC	1.0 g/bhp-r	BACT-PSD ^(E)
<u>CA-1192</u>	6/21/2011	17.130	Not Listed	860 BHP (550.0 KW)	со	N/A	BACT-PSD ^(F)
<u>CA-1192</u>	6/21/2011	17.130	Not Listed	860 BHP (550.0 KW)	NOx	N/A	BACT-PSD ^(F)
<u>CA-1192</u>	6/21/2011	17.130	Not Listed	860 BHP (550.0 KW)	PM (TPM)	N/A	BACT-PSD ^(F)
<u>CA-1192</u>	6/21/2011	17.130	Not Listed	860 BHP (550.0 KW)	PM (PM10)	N/A	BACT-PSD ^(F)
<u>MI-0390</u>	10/14/2010	17.130	Not Listed	1818 BHP	NOx	0.5 g/bhp-hr	BACT-PSD, NSPS, NESHAP
LA-0232	6/24/2008	17.130	Not Listed	838 BHP	NOx	4.8 lb/hr	BACT-PSD, Operating Permit
LA-0232	6/24/2008	17.130	Not Listed	838 BHP	VOC	1.39 lb/hr	BACT-PSD, Operating Permit
<u>MD-0036</u>	3/10/2006	17.130	Not Listed	1,085 BHP (770KW)	со	1.5 g/bhp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MD-0036</u>	3/10/2006	17.130	Not Listed	1,085 BHP (770KW)	NOx	2.0 g/bhp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>MD-0036</u>	3/10/2006	17.130	Not Listed	1,085 BHP (770KW)	PM (FPM10)	0.0099 Ib/MMBtu	BACT-PSD ^(G)

RBLC#	Permit Date ^(A)	Process Code ^{(B), (C)}	Engine Burn Type	Rating	Pollutant	Standard	Case-By-Case Basis
<u>MD-0036</u>	3/10/2006	17.130	Not Listed	1,085 BHP (770 KW)	VOC	0.6 g/hp-hr	LAER
<u>LA-0276</u>	12/15/16	17.230	Not Listed	150 kW	VOC	Comply with NSPS Subpart JJJJ	BACT-PSD
FL-0356	3/9/16	17.230	Not Listed	25 kW	СО	387 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>CA-1225</u>	4/25/14	17.230	Not Listed	256 BHP	СО	4.0 g/hp-hr	BACT-PSD
<u>CA-1225</u>	4/25/14	17.230	Not Listed	256 BHP	NOx	0.78 lb/hr	BACT-PSD
<u>CA-1225</u>	4/25/14	17.230	Not Listed	256 BHP	FPM	0.0216 lb/hr	BACT-PSD
<u>CA-1225</u>	4/25/14	17.230	Not Listed	256 BHP	PM10	0.0216 lb/hr	BACT-PSD
LA-0311	7/15/13	17.230	Not Listed	300 BHP	СО	3.31 lb/hr	BACT-PSD
<u>IA-0102</u>	2/1/2012	17.230	Not Listed	225 KW	VOC	0.66 lb/hr	BACT-PSD ^(H)
<u>WA-0316</u>	6/14/2006	17.230	Not Listed	450 KW	NOx	82 g/hr	BACT-PSD ^(I)
<u>NV-0048</u>	5/16/2006	17.230	Not Listed	771 BHP (575 KW)	со	2.0 g/bhp-hr	Other Case-by-Case, SIP, Operating Permit
<u>NV-0048</u>	5/16/2006	17.230	Not Listed	771 BHP (575 KW)	NOx	21.5 g/bhp-hr	Other Case-by-Case, SIP, Operating Permit
<u>NV-0048</u>	5/16/2006	17.230	Not Listed	771 BHP (575 KW)	PM (FPM10)	0.0410 g/bhp-hr	Other Case-by-Case, SIP, Operating Permit
<u>NV-0048</u>	5/16/2006	17.230	Not Listed	771 BHP (575 KW)	SOx	0.0052 g/bhp-hr	Other Case-by-Case, SIP, Operating Permit
<u>NV-0048</u>	5/16/2006	17.230	Not Listed	771 BHP (575 KW)	VOC	0.23 g/bhp-hr	Other Case-by-Case, SIP, Operating Permit

(A) Due to the large number of entries only determinations made (based on Permit Date) entered since 01/01/2005 are included in the above table.

(B) Process Code 17.130 includes Large Internal Combustion Engines (> 500 BHP) fueled using natural gas (includes propane and liquid petroleum gas).

(C) Process Code 17.230 includes Small Internal Combustion Engines (≤ 500 BHP) fueled using natural gas (includes propane and liquid petroleum gas).

(D) BACT was determined to be use of natural gas fuel and good combustion practices. Emission limits for PM10, PM2.5, and PM (TSP) were determined to be <0.01 lb/hr and was established by Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality Permit <u>PSD-LA-754</u> for Westlake Vinyls

Company, LP.

- (E) Emission Limits are based on <u>40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion</u> Engines. (NSPS, Subpart IIII)
- (F) The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued a decision on 8/12/2014 that vacated the permit decision and remanded it to EPA. Therefore, this BACT determination has not yet been achieved in practice. Source: EPA Region IX, <u>Avenal Energy Product</u>.
- (G) Emission limit for PM is based on AP-42 PM condensable emission factor for natural gas-fired reciprocating engines.
- (H) BACT was determined to be good combustion practices. Emission limit for VOC was determined to be 0.66 lb/hr and was established by Iowa Department of Natural Resources; Air Quality Bureau, Title V Permit <u>03-TV-025R2</u> (page 133) for Alcoa, Inc.
- (I) BACT was determined to be non-selective catalytic reduction. Emission limit for NOx was determined to be ≤82 g/hr and was established by Washington State Department of Ecology; Air Quality Program, Permit PSD-01-09 Amendment 6 for Northwest Pipeline Corporation
 - = Not applicable to this determination. Equipment has not yet been achieved in practice or is for a specific purpose outside of the scope of this determination.
 - = Selected as the most stringent BACT determination achieved in practice.

Attachment B

Review of BACT Determinations published by ARB

List of BACT determinations published in ARB's BACT Clearinghouse for ICE: Spark Ignition, Natural Gas & ICE: Emergency, Spark Ignition:

Capacity	Source	Date	Engine Burn Type	NOx	voc	со	PM10	SOx
528 BHP	MBUAPCD	10/13/2005	Rich Burn	0.07 g/bhp-hr ^(A)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
93 BHP	SCAQMD	10/06/2000	Rich Burn	0.15 g/bhp-hr ^(B)	0.15 g/bhp-hr	0.6 g/bhp-hr	N/A	N/A
1334 BHP	<u>SCAQMD</u>	12/7/1999	Rich Burn	1.5 g/bhp-hr ^(B)	1.5 g/bhp-hr ^(B)	2.0 g/bhp-hr ^(B)	N/A	N/A
750 BHP	SCAQMD ^(C)	N/A	Rich Burn	0.15 g/bhp-hr ^(B)	0.15 g/bhp-hr ^(B)	0.6 g/bhp-hr ^(B)	N/A	N/A
310 BHP	SMAQMD ^(D)	10/22/2004	Rich Burn	2.13 g/bhp-hr ^(A)	0.0449 ^(A)	1.6 g/bhp-hr ^(A)	0.152 g/bhp-hr	0.002 g/bhp-hr

(A) Add-on control – 3-way catalytic converter,

(B) Add-on control – 3-way catalytic converter and air/fuel ratio controller

(C) SCAQMD is reconsidering the BACT requirement for future applications of this type. Source: SCAQMD Application No. 359876

(D) Emission limits are based on emissions for the specific engine and is not a standard for gaseous emergency standby engines

= Not enough information to determine if engine is for standby purposes

= Selected as the most stringent BACT determination achieved in practice.

Attachment C Cost Effectiveness Calculations

ENGINE SCR COST EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATION

EPA AIR POLLUTION CONTROL COST MANUAL, Sixth Edition, EPA/452/B-02-001, January 2002

Section 4.2 - NOx Post-Combustion, Chapter 2 - Selective Catalytic Reduction

Cost Effectiveness =

Fauinment

\$181,576.47 \$/ton

Equipment		
Engine rating (499 BHP)	4.8	mmBTU/hr
Engine Operating hours	100	hours
Engine capacity factor	1	
SCR Operating Days	365	days
Total Capacity Factor	1	
Baseline NOx (30 ppm)	0.589	lb/mmBTU
SCR NOx (5 ppm)	0.02356	lb/mmBTU
Ammonia Slip	10	ppm
Ammonia Stoichiometric Ratio	1.05	
Stored Ammonia Conc	29	%
Ammonia Storage days	90	days
Sulfur Content	0.005	%
Pressure drop for SCR Ductwork	3	inches W.G.
Pressure drop for each Catalyst Layer	1	inches W.G.
Temperature at SCR Inlet	650	degrees F
Equipment Life	20	years
Annual interest Rate	5	%
Catalyst cost, Initial	240	\$/ft2
Catalyst cost, replacement	290	\$/ft2
Electrical Power cost	0.1124	\$/KWh
Ammonia Cost	0.101	\$/lb
Catalyst Life	24000	hr
Catalyst Layers	2 full, 1 empty	

Engine Calculations

Q _B	4.8		mmBTU/hr
Q flue gas		1710.371508	acfm

 N_{NOx}

0.96

SCR Reactor Calculations

Vol _{Catalyst}	14.47935815	ft3
A _{Catalyst}	1.781636988	ft2
A _{SCR}	2.048882536	ft2
I=w=	1.431391818	ft
n _{layer}	3	
h _{layer}	3.708998943	
n _{total}	4	
h _{SCR}	51.83599577	

ft

Reagent Calculations

M _{reagent}	1.098773675	
m _{sol}	3.788874742	lb/hr
q _{sol}	0.50615307	gph
Tank Volume	1093.290632	gal

Cost Estimation

Direct Costs

DC	\$139,848.01

Indirect Costs

General Facilities	\$6,992.40
Engineering and home office fees	\$13,984.80
Process Contingency	\$6,992.40
Total Indirect Installation Costs	\$27,969.60
Project Contingency	\$25,172.64
Total Plant Cost	\$192,990.25
Preproduction Cost	\$3,859.80
Inventory Capital	\$826.58
Total Capital Investment	\$197,676.63

Direct Annual Costs

Maintenance Costs	\$2,965.15		per yr
Power	2.04898176		KW
Annual Electricity		\$2,017.48	per yr

Reagent Solution Cost	\$3,352.24		per yr
Catalyst Replacement			
FWF	0.317208565		
Annual Catalyst Replacement		\$443.99	per yr
Total Variable Direct Cost	\$5,813.71		per yr
Total Direct Annual Cost	\$8,778.86		per yr
CRF	0.080242587		
Indirect Annual Cost		\$15,862.08	per yr
Total annual Cost	\$24,640.94		per yr
NOx Removed	0.14		tons
Cost of NOx removal	\$181,576.47		per ton

ENGINE SCR COST EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATION

EPA AIR POLLUTION CONTROL COST MANUAL, Sixth Edition, EPA/452/B-02-001, January 2002

Section 4.2 - NOx Post-Combustion, Chapter 2 - Selective Catalytic Reduction

Cost Effectiveness =

Fauinment

\$152,555.04 \$/ton

Equipment		
Engine rating (1000 HP)	9.6	mmBTU/hr
Engine Operating hours	100	hours
Engine capacity factor	1	
SCR Operating Days	365	days
Total Capacity Factor	1	
Baseline NOx (30 ppm)	0.589	lb/mmBTU
SCR NOx (5 ppm)	0.02356	lb/mmBTU
Ammonia Slip	10	ppm
Ammonia Stoichiometric Ratio	1.05	
Stored Ammonia Conc	29	%
Ammonia Storage days	90	days
Sulfur Content	0.005	%
Pressure drop for SCR Ductwork	3	inches W.G.
Pressure drop for each Catalyst Layer	1	inches W.G.
Temperature at SCR Inlet	650	degrees F
Equipment Life	20	years
Annual interest Rate	5	%
Catalyst cost, Initial	240	\$/ft2
Catalyst cost, replacement	290	\$/ft2
Electrical Power cost	0.1124	\$/KWh
Ammonia Cost	0.101	\$/lb
Catalyst Life	24000	hr
Catalyst Layers	2 full, 1 empty	

Boiler Calculations

Q _B	9.6	mmBTU/hr
q flue gas	3420.743017	acfm

 N_{NOx}

0.96

ft

SCR Reactor Calculations

Vol _{Catalyst}	28.9587163	ft3
A _{Catalyst}	3.563273976	ft2
A _{SCR}	4.097765072	ft2
I=w=	2.024293722	ft
n _{layer}	3	
h _{layer}	3.708998943	
N _{total}	4	
h _{SCR}	51.83599577	

Reagent Calculations

M _{reagent}	2.197547351	lb/hr
m _{sol}	7.577749485	lb/hr
q _{sol}	1.012306141	gph
Tank Volume	2186.581265	gal

Cost Estimation

Direct Costs

DC	\$	220,942.20	
Indirect Costs			
General Facilities	\$	11,047.11	
Engineering and home office fees	\$	22,094.22	
Process Contingency	\$	11,047.11	
Total Indirect Installation Costs	\$	44,188.44	
Project Contingency	\$	39,769.60	
Total Plant Cost	\$	304,900.24	
Preproduction Cost	\$	6,098.00	
Inventory Capital	\$	1,653.16	
Total Capital Investment	\$	312,651.41	
Direct Annual Costs			
Maintenance Costs	\$	4,689.77	per yr
Power		4.09796352	KW
Annual Electricity	\$	4,034.95	per yr

Reagent Solution Cost	\$	6,704.49	per yr
Catalyst Replacement			
FWF		0.317208565	
Annual Catalyst Replacement	\$	887.98	per yr
Tatal Variable Direct Cost	ć	11 (27 42	
Total Variable Direct Cost	\$	11,627.42	per yr
Total Direct Annual Cost	\$	16,317.19	per yr
		0 000242507	
CRF		0.080242587	
Indirect Annual Cost	\$	25,087.96	per yr
Total annual Cost	\$	41,405.15	per yr
Nov Removed		0.27	tons
NOx Removed		0.27	tons
Cost of NOx removal	\$	152,555.04	per ton